# Students' Attitudes toward Using Literary Works in Learning English in EFL Context

Muhammad Rifqi\*, Januarius Mujiyanto, Rudi Hartono, Sri Wuli Fitriati

Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia \*Corresponding Author: mrifqi08@gmail.com

**Abstract.** Literary texts in learning English have been used worldwide in teaching English in various contexts, i.e., first, second, and foreign languages. However, debates among educators about its effectiveness continuously occur. In this research, we tried to examine the students' attitudes toward using literary works for teaching English. Apart from studies about using literary texts in English teaching, this research was done with a combination of imaginative recreation. The research subjects were the 3<sup>rd</sup>-semester students taking the "Literary Appreciation" English Department at a private university in Semarang in 2020. A hundred and twenty students were involved in this research. Only forty-five students responded to the questionnaires spread to one hundred and twenty. The questionnaires consisted of ten questions concerning the attitude toward using literary texts. The results showed that the overall index of students' attitudes was 3.7, which was classified as a strong attitude toward using literary texts. From the findings, it can be concluded that most students had strong attitudes toward using literary text in English teaching. However, teachers must be attentive to problems that might arise during its implementation.

**Keywords:** Attitude in learning English; Imaginative re-creation; literary appreciation

**How to Cite:** Rifqi, M., Mujiyanto J., Hartono, H., Fitriati, S.W., (2022). Students' Attitudes toward using literary works in learning English in EFL Context. *ISET: International Conference on Science, Education, and Technology* (2022), 1011-1017.

#### INTRODUCTION

Teachers use literary texts worldwide for teaching English in the contexts of first language (L1), second language (L2), or foreign language (FL). However, there is still a debate on the effective use of literary text in English learning and how best we use it in English language teaching (ELT) (Hirvela, 1996). Teachers agree with using literary texts to develop material in ELT because they believe that literary texts are closely related to language study and can be integrated with English learning (Brumfit & Carter in Latheef, 2012). While those who oppose the idea of using literary text in ELT argue that it can cause learner passivity, reduction of motivational level (discouraging), lack of communicative relevance, and cultural disparity stemming from either inappropriate approaches or unsuitable material adopted (Lazar in Latheef, 2012). Moreover, Carter and Long, as cited by Latheef (2012), support the application of literature in the ELT because it provides "authentic material of communicative, linguistic, and imaginative value conducive to the personal and emotional involvement of learners and contributes to their cultural and linguistic enrichment."

Regardless of the debate on using literary text in ELT, literary text is worth considering to give students various learning experiences. Using literary text as an authentic language source in teaching English enables students to create communicative events and meaning-making of the text. Through this event, the four language skills can be taught, or grammar can be learned in context (Agustien, 2016). The word 'authentic' is mainly understood as text that is not intentionally made for pedagogical purposes or teaching material. Thus, literary text can be included in authentic material since it is made for delivering a specific message to the readers (Lee, 1995) in general, including non-English learners and native or non-native speakers of the language in which it is written (Takahashi, 2015). However, as cited by Lee (1995), according to Alderson, the authentic material since many implementations in the classroom seem to neglect the appropriate application of what is so-called authentic from the learners' point of view (Alderson in Lee, 1995). The authentic materials used by the teacher must be motivating, enjoyable, and not stressful for the learners.

On the contrary, the learning process will never happen if the text (learning material) is too far beyond the learner's linguistic competence (Alderson in Lee, 1995). Consequently, the learner will be shocked and will not learn anything. Furthermore, she points out that the teacher needs to find an appropriate material to be more authentic to meet the learners' competence, i.e., the material whose difficulty is not far

beyond the learners' linguistic competence. For example, using Shakespeare's poems for beginners does not meet the learners' needs because they are too difficult for them, and the poems are not authentic anymore for their level (Lee, 1995). Using popular literary text that has attracted people's attention worldwide (Yuliasri & Allen, 2019), such as Harry Potter, can also attract the students' interest and motivate them to read

Literary texts are used not only for the teaching of Literature per se but also for language learning. Besides using literary text for literary appreciation and analysis, literary text can also be used for teaching language skills. In using the literary text in the EFL/ ESL context, the teacher has to select the appropriate text so that the gap between the learners' existing language competence and the learning objective is not too far. Another important thing the teachers should do is to determine the kinds of learning activities to exploit the text (Lazar, 2015), covering prereading, while-reading, and post-reading activities.

One of the important agents in the learning process is the learner. Teachers should not put aside their existence. The learners' needs have to be understood to gain maximum learning achievement. They will be successful in learning if they have a good or strong attitude toward the lesson. This attitude will influence their learning motivation. In turn, their learning achievement will be better. Many studies on learner motivation, such as Syahrozi et al. (1991), Choubsaz & Choubsaz (Choubsaz & Choubsaz, 2014), Erten (2014), McDonald (2010), Masgoret

& Gardner (2003) have been conducted and show a positive effect on learning achievements.

Even though literary texts have been widely used in English teaching, students' attitudes should be explored more. This research investigates the student's attitude toward using literary text (i.e., short stories) in learning English. This research aims to find out the students' attitudes toward using literary works (i.e., short stories) in an English as a foreign language class.

Hopefully, the research findings will be beneficial for teachers in considering literary texts in their English classes to improve the learners' language competence.

#### **METHODS**

This research is a quantitative single case study. It is quantitative because the study investigated the respondents' attitudes. The data were collected using questionnaires after the course was accomplished. The questionnaires were composed using the Lickert scale consisting of the choices from "strongly disagree" up to "strongly agree" with intervals from 1 to 5.

There were only 45 respondents responded to the questionnaires. The questionnaire is attached at the end of this document. The respondents were 3<sup>rd</sup>-semester English Department students taking a Literary Appreciation Course at a private university in Semarang. The data were then analyzed by using descriptive statistics.

The responses to each statement were indexed by using the following formula (Kostoulas, 2013):

$$I = \frac{(S_1 x f_1) + (S_2 x f_2) + (S_3 x f_3) + (S_4 x f_4) + (S_5 x f_5)}{N}$$

Figure 1. Index/ Mean Formula

Where: *I* : Index (obtained from the mean of the spread numbers)

 $S_n$ : Scale point

*N* : Number of respondents

The index intervals were classified then into five categories to draw conclusions, i.e.,

 Very weak
 : 0 - less than 1.8

 Weak
 : 1.8 - less than 2.6

 Average
 : 2.6 - less than 3.6

 Strong
 : 3.6 - less than 4.2

Very strong : 4.2 - 5

Several steps were taken in this research as the following:

- 1. Determining short stories appropriate for the student's level.
- 2. Giving assignments to students to read the chosen literary texts
- 3. Discussing the selected short stories
- Giving students assignments to respond to the short stories by composing imaginative recreation.
- 5. Spreading the questionnaires and asking the students to fill out the questionnaires to get data about their attitudes toward using literary text in the English class activities.
- 6. Analyzing the data

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The researchers used ten indicators in the survey to obtain the respondents' perception of the literary works used in the EFL class. The participants' responses were then counted to get the perception toward each indicator. The indexes were then calculated using the formula presented in Figure 1. Each scale score was multiplied by the number of responses to the scale. Then, all the results were summed up and divided by the number of respondents. The categories were classified into five, i.e., very weak, weak, average, strong, and very strong. These categories

were set based on the five category intervals—the highest score minus the lowest score and then divided by the number of categories. The counting will result in 0.8. This figure was used to calculate the interval from the lowest scale to the largest scale. The category results were 0 to less than 1.8 (very weak), 1.8 to less than 2.6 (weak), 2.6 to less than 3.4 (average), 3.4 to less than 4.2 (strong), and 4.2 to 5 (strong). These categories were used to conclude the participants' attitudes toward the imaginative re-creation implementation

The results obtained from the survey questionnaires are presented below.

Table: Results of Attitude Index

No	Indicator	Scale					Index	Conclusions	Num of
		1	2	3	4	5	muex	Conclusions	Respondents
1	X1	16	13	8	4	4	2.3	Weak	45
2	X2	2	5	19	17	2	3.3	Average	45
3	X3	1	2	16	16	10	3.7	Strong	45
4	X4	1	7	16	14	7	3.4	Strong	45
5	X5	0	0	3	18	24	4.5	Very Strong	45
6	X6	0	0	7	18	20	4.3	Very Strong	45
7	X7	0	0	7	16	22	4.3	Very Strong	45
8	X8	7	3	13	14	8	3.3	Average	45
9	X9	3	10	16	11	5	3.1	Average	45
10	X10	0	0	4	12	29	4.6	Very Strong	45
General conclussion							3.7	Strong	

# Notes:

Index intervals for conclusion drawing:

 Very weak
 : 0 - less than 1.8

 Weak
 : 1.8 - less than 2.6

 Average
 : 2.6 - less than 3.6

 Strong
 : 3.6 - less than 4.2

Very strong : 4.2 - 5

# Response options:

- 1 : Strongly disagree
- 2 : Disagree
- 3 : Neutral/ Average
- 4 : Agree
- 5 : Strongly disagree

Indicators for measuring attitudes/ statements:

- X1. It is my first time reading English literary texts.
- X2. It is easy to read and understand the assigned literary texts in terms of vocabulary, sentence structure, and language style.
- X3. I am interested in literature (reading).
- X4. I will likely read English literary works (short stories) even though the teacher

does not assign me to read.

- X5. I can learn many things like culture, characters' personalities, other's life, etc., through reading literature.
- X6. For me, literature is valuable.
- X7. I can see literary work as a reflection of human life.
- X8. If reading literature is an optional subject in the curriculum, I'd better not take it.
- X9. I read literary works (short stories) in my leisure time.
- X10. Reading English literary works can improve my reading skill.

The table was composed of the recapitulations of 45 questionnaire responses. Each respondent's answer was scored. There were ten indicators to measure the respondents' attitudes toward using literary text. The indicators were labeled X1 to X10. The choice of responses started from 1 to 5, indicating the scales of attitudes beginning from "Strongly disagree," "Disagree," "Neutral," "Agree," and "Strongly agree." Then, the frequency of all scales was calculated to find the mean/ index by the index formula shown in

i.e., "Very weak," "Weak," "Average," "Strong," "Weak," "Average," "Strong," and "Very strong," and none indicated "Very Weak." The only in terms of language. indicator, X1, showed "Weak." Three indicators, i.e., X2, X8, and X9, were "Average," while "Strong" attitudes were indicated in two indicators, i.e., X3 and X4. The rests were "Very Strong" attitudes found in 4 indicators, i.e., X5, X6, X7, and X10. Finally, the general conclusion was a "Strong" attitude with a 3.8 index. The indicators are broken down and elaborated below. **Indicator/ Statement 1.** It is my first time reading English literary texts.

This indicator is the only one that indicates a weak attitude. It can be seen from the table that only eight respondents did not agree with the statement, while the rest of the 42 respondents agreed with the statement. Since the statement sense is negative for the student's attitude, the scores were read invertedly. It means the more the respondents agree with this statement, the lower/ the weaker the attitude is, and vice versa. The respondents with a strong attitude to the literary text frequently read the literary texts and should disagree with the statement. The disagreement responses to the first statement were lower than that of agreement. Only a few respondents had a positive attitude. In response to the statement, "It is my first time reading English literary texts," indicated that most respondents have not been well exposed to literary texts. In other words, most of them are unfamiliar with reading literary works. It can be concluded that they have a weak/ negative attitude toward literary texts. It was proven by the mean/ index of 2.3, which was classified as a weak attitude.

Indicator/ Statement 2. It is easy to read and understand the assigned literary texts in terms of vocabulary, sentence structure, and language style.

3.3, classified as an "Average" attitude. Opinion can be concluded that the respondents had a very seems to be divided concerning the statement, "It is strong attitude toward the literature. Almost all of easy to read and understand the assigned literary them agreed that they could learn many things texts in terms of vocabulary, sentence structure, and through literature, culture, people's personalities, language style." Many respondents (N=26, 58%) and life. expressed strong disagreement, disagreement, and Indicator/ Statement 6. For me, literature is neutral, indicating a low/ weak attitude toward the respondents did not have a clear and definitive respondents had very strong attitudes since the

Figure 1. Each indicator was indexed to conclude, attitude toward the statement. "Average" attitude tended to be negative and could be classified into and "Very Strong" with the intervals shown weak/ low attitude. It is understandable because above. The conclusions of indicators spread from sometimes literary works are hard to understand. It can be concluded that they have a negative attitude

# **Indicator/ Statement 3.** I am interested in literature (reading).

The third statement scores indicated that the index was 3.7 and categorized into strong attitude. Many respondents (N=26, 58%) agreed with the statement that they were interested in literary texts. The score was a bit higher compared to the negative attitude score of 42% (N=19), so it can be said that they were interested in reading literary texts. They have a positive attitude even though the data disparity is not too

**Indicator/ Statement 4.** I will likely read English literary works (short stories) even though the teacher does not assign me to read.

In responding to the fourth statement, most respondents have a negative attitude (N=24, 53%) compared to a positive attitude, and another 47 % have a positive attitude to the statement. Although the index showed 3.4 (Strong), if it was carefully inspected, the index score was borderline between low (average/ weak) and strong attitudes. In other words, they read literary works because their teacher assigned them and not because of their initiative. Opinions seem to be divided concerning their initiatives in reading literary texts, although this index indicates a positive attitude.

**Indicator/ Statement 5.** I can learn many things like culture, characters' personalities, other's life, etc., through reading literature.

This statement was responded to positively with a high index of 4.5 points. A percentage of forty-two respondents (91.3%) undoubtedly answered this statement. The portion is close to In response to the second statement, the index was the maximum rate. With an index of 4.5 points, it

# valuable.

statement. The "average" attitude meant that the The responses to the sixth statement indicated that

who agreed and strongly agreed is 38 or 84%, while attitude toward using literary texts in English the other was seven respondents or only 16%. It can learning. This figure indicates that they perceived be stated that they significantly agreed with the literary texts could improve their reading skills. statement that literature is valuable. It may be In other words, the figure shows a good attitude because they realize the values in literary works, such as fairness, faith, friendship, loyalty, humanity, and culture.

**Indicator/ Statement 7.** I can see literary work as a reflection of human life.

In line with the sixth indicator, the responses to the seventh statement also indicated that respondents had high positive attitudes, with N=38 (84%) agreeing. They thought that literature as a reflection of human life and learned the bad and good sides of the characters' lives. It can be mentioned in their research that need to pay concluded that the respondents had a very strong attitude, with 4.3 points to the use of literature.

**Indicator/ Statement 8.** If reading literature is an optional subject in the curriculum, I'd better not take it.

The responses to the eighth statement showed a 3.3 index classified into "average' attitude. The opinion Most of them still perceived that literary texts seems to be split concerning whether they had to take the literary reading if it was optional in the curriculum. Many respondents (N=22, 49%) showed agreement and strong agreement, while the texts outside the classroom if their teachers do not others (N=23, 51%) had strong disagreement, disagreement, and neutral. Even though this literary texts in their leisure time (X9). However, indicator had a 3.3 index with the average attitude, it should be carefully treated as it was a split also see some advantages of literature, such as opinion between weak and strong attitudes toward using literature. The percentage of respondents' agreement (49%) is lower than that of disagreement English. (51%). In other words, most students agreed that taking an elective literary reading course is their literary text for their learning material. They have preference.

**Indicator/ Statement 9.** I read literary works (short stories) in my leisure time.

The number of respondents who disagreed with the ninth statement is 64% (N=16). The percentage of respondents who agree with the statement is lower than the number of respondents who disagree. Most positive/ strong attitudes toward using literary respondents do not read literary texts in their leisure time. It is consistent with the respondents' responses to the fourth statement. They mostly read literature for the assignment. It can be concluded that they have a negative attitude in responding to this statement even though the index was 3.1, which was even lower than the previous eighth indicator. Indicator/ Statement 10. Reading English literary

works can improve my reading skill.

The percentage of the respondents who agreed with the statement is very high, with N=41

index was 4.3 points. The number of respondents (91.1%) and an index of 4.6 with a very strong toward using literary text in English class.

> To sum up, among the ten statements used in determining the students' attitudes, it is found that students have positive (strong) attitudes toward using literary text with an index of 3.7. This result supports the studies conducted by several researchers in English language learning, such as Damuri (2021), Afdian & Wahyuni (2020), Karakaya & Kahraman (2013), and Dwaik et al. (2015). However, there are some items not attention to. These findings must be handled carefully by teachers interested in this field. Since those previous researchers did not say the items, these items will be the novelty of this research.

> Firstly, most respondents admitted that they did not read before the class (X1). They were not familiar with reading literary texts. Secondly, were not easy to understand in terms the vocabulary, sentence structure, and language style (X2). Thirdly, they prefer not to read literary assign them (X8). Finally, they prefer not to read besides the negative attitude, the students could their interests, benefits, value, reflection, alternative reading, and improved

> This research benefits English teachers using to consider several things, such as adjusting the text difficulty level to avoid students' frustration that can damage their learning motivation.

#### CONCLUSION

This study has proven that students have text in English learning. This conclusion can be sen from the above discussion and also supported by the counting of the participants' responses presented in the table. One indicator (X1) showed weak attitude showing the participants' low habit in reading literary works. Another three indicators showed average attitude, i.e. X2, X8, and X9. These indicators deals with the participants' difficulty in reading literary work, preference of using literary works, and their habits in reading literary works. Teachers must

carefully pay attenton to these three indicators as they can damage their students' attention in learning English. On the contrary, the rest of the sic indicators showed the strong attitude of the participants toward the use of literary texts. They believe that literary texts can benefit them in improving their English proficiency. Some aspects of literature, such as human life reflection, values, humanity, and cultural diversity around the world, can also be exciting sides of literature. Besides, teachers must pay serious attention to the potentially harmful sides that can decrease learning achievements.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I (the corresponding author) would like to extend my sincere gratitude to the Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) for the scholarship funding for my doctorate program.

#### REFERENCES

- Afdian, S., & Wahyuni, D. (2020). Indonesian senior high school students' attitude towards literature in English. *Journal of English Language Teaching*, *9*(1), 160–172. https://doi.org/10.24036/JELT.V9I1.107889
- Agustien, H. I. R. (2016). Teaching English grammar in Asian contexts. In W. A. Renandya & H. P. Widodo (Eds.), *English Language Teaching Today: Linking Theory and Practice* (English La, pp. 209–226). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-38834-2 15
- Choubsaz, Y., & Choubsaz, Y. (2014). Motivational orientation and EFL learning: A study of Iranian undergraduate students. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 98, 392–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.431
- Damuri, D., & Wahyuni, D. (2021). Rural high school students' attitude toward literature in English; A descriptive study at SMA N 1 Batipuh. *Journal of English Language Teaching*, 10(4), 566–577. https://doi.org/10.24036/JELT.V10I4.11476 3
- Dwaik, R., Shrouf, S., & Bassem, S. (2015). An exploration of freshman students' attitude towards English literature. *Arab World English Journal*, 6(3), 244–260. https://www.academia.edu/16376985/An\_E xploration\_of\_Freshman\_Students\_Attitude \_towards\_English\_Literature
- Erten, İ. H. (2014). Interaction between Academic

- Motivation and Student Teachers' Academic Achievement. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 152, 173–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.09.176
- Gardner, R. C. (1985). *The social psychology and second language: The role of attitude and motivation* (H. Giles (ed.); 4th ed.). Edward Arnold.
- Hirvela, A. (1996). Reader-response theory and ELT. *ELT Journal*, 50(2), 127–134. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/50.2.127
- Karakaya, E., & Kahraman, A. (2013). Students' attitudes toward literature use. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 2(5), 155–166. https://doi.org/10.7575/AIAC.IJALEL.V.2 N.5P.155
- Kostoulas, A. (2013). *On Likert scales, ordinal data* and mean values . https://achilleaskostoulas.com/2013/02/13/on-likert-scales-ordinal-data-and-mean-values/
- Latheef, V. A. (2012). From chalk and talk to mouse and screen: Integrating web tools into literature classroom activities. In R. Al-Mahrooqi & A. Roscoe (Eds.), *Literature Teaching in the EFL Context: New Perspectives* (pp. 443–456).
- Lazar, G. (2015). Playing with words and oictures: Using post-modernist picture books as a resource with teenage and adult language learners. In M. Teranishi, Y. Saito, & K. Wales (Eds.), *Literature and Language Learning in the EFL Classroom* (pp. 94–111). Palgrave Macmillan UK. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137443663\_7
- Lee, W. Y. (1995). Authenticity revisited: Text authenticity and learner authenticity. *ELT Journal*, 49(4), 323–328. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/49.4.323
- Masgoret, A. M., & Gardner, R. C. (2003). Attitudes, motivation, and second language learning: A meta-analysis of studies conducted by Gardner and associates. *Language Learning*, 53(SUPPL. 1), 167–210. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.00227
- McDonald, A. (2010). Keeping the vision alive: Maintaining motivation and promoting effective learning. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 3, 190–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.07.031
- Syahrozi, H., Rochsantiningsih, D., Indriyani, E., & Handayani, P. (1991). *Improving students' motivation in learning English using movie*

clip.

Takahashi, K. (2015). Literary texts as authentic materials for language learning: The current situation in Japan. In M. Teranishi, Y. Saito, & K. Wales (Eds.), *Literature and Language Learning in the EFL Classroom* (pp. 1–329). PALGRAVE MACMILLAN.

https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137443663
Yuliasri, I., & Allen, P. (2019). Humour loss in the Indonesian translation of Harry Potter and the Sorcerer's Stone. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(1), 119–127. https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v9i1.14185.