Transforming Education: "Exploring the Impact and Implementation of Blended Learning Models with Different Learning Styles"

Aprilia Riyana Putri) , Rudi Hartono), Januarius Mujiyanto), Hendi Pratama)
Universitas Negeri Semarang, Ilmu Pendidikan Bahasa
Semarang, Indonesia
apriliariyanaputri@students.unnes.ac.id

Abstract

Blended Learning, which combines traditional classroom instruction with online educational resources, has emerged as a transformative approach in modern education. This article explores the impact and the implementation of blended learning models across various educational settings. By integrating digital tools with face-to-face teaching, blended learning aims to enhance student engagement, accommodate different learning styles and provide personalized learning experiences. This study reviews current research and case studies to evaluate the effectiveness of blended learning in improving educational outcomes. It identifies key factors influencing successful implementation, such as institutional readiness, faculty training, technological infrastructure, and student engagement. The article also discusses the challenges associated with blended learning, including the need for new pedagogical strategies, assessment methods, and curriculum design adjustments. By offering a comprehensive analysis of blended learning models, this article provides valuable insights for educators, administrators, and policymakers seeking to harness the potential of this innovative educational approach.

Keywords: Different Learning Styles, Modern Education, Transformative approach, The Impact and Implementation of Blended Learning.

Introduction

The landscape of education is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by the integration of technology and the adoption of innovative teaching methodologies. One such innovation is blended learning, which combines traditional face-to-face instruction with online learning components. This hybrid approach leverages the strengths of both in-person and digital education, aiming to enhance student engagement, flexibility, and overall learning outcomes (AlAli & Wardat, 2024; Joshi et al., 2023). As education evolves, it is crucial to address the diverse learning styles of students to maximize the effectiveness of blended learning models.

Blended learning has gained significant attention for its potential to cater to different learning styles—visual, auditory, kinaesthetic, and read/write—by providing varied instructional methods that align with students' preferences (Nazarqulovna & Qizi, 2024). For instance, visual learners benefit from multimedia content such as videos and infographics, while kinaesthetic learners engage more effectively through interactive simulations and hands-on activities (Dey & Panda, 2024). This personalization in education not only enhances student motivation and engagement but also leads to improved academic performance(Arantes, 2024; Khaledi et al., 2024).

The flexibility of blended learning allows students to access educational materials at their own pace and convenience, making learning more accessible and inclusive (Korson, 2023). This is particularly important in addressing the educational disparities that exist in different regions and communities. By providing high-quality online resources, blended learning can bridge the gap between students with varying access to educational opportunities. Despite the evident benefits, the implementation of blended learning models presents several challenges. Technological barriers, such as limited access to devices and internet connectivity, can hinder the effective adoption of blended learning, especially in underserved areas (Hongxu &

Isa, 2024). Additionally, there is often resistance to change from educators and students who are accustomed to traditional teaching methods. To overcome these challenges, it is essential to provide adequate training and support for educators, ensuring they are equipped to integrate technology into their teaching practices effectively (Goshtasbpour et al., 2022).

Furthermore, ensuring the quality and consistency of blended learning experiences across different educational settings requires clear guidelines and standards(Ortega-Morán et al., 2020). Research on the long-term impacts of blended learning on student outcomes and the development of best practices for its implementation are critical for the continued evolution of this educational model.

In conclusion, blended learning represents a significant shift in educational practice, offering the potential to create more personalized, flexible, and inclusive learning environments. By addressing the diverse learning styles of students and overcoming the challenges associated with its implementation, blended learning can transform education and better prepare students for the demands of the 21st century. This article aims to explore the impact and implementation of blended learning models with different learning styles, providing insights and practical recommendations for educators and policymakers.

Literature review

Blended Learning: Definition and Evolution

Blended learning, often referred to as hybrid learning, combines traditional face-to-face instructional methods with online learning activities and digital resources (Perez et al., 2020). This approach aims to integrate the best features of in-person and online education to create a cohesive learning experience. The evolution of blended learning has been driven by advancements in educational technology and a growing recognition of the need for flexible, personalized learning environments(Mohammad Ali, 2024).

1. Theoretical Foundations of Blended Learning

The theoretical underpinnings of blended learning are rooted in constructivist theories, which emphasize active, student-centered learning. Constructivism posits that learners construct knowledge through experiences and interactions with their environment(Harootonian et al., 2024). Blended learning environments facilitate these interactions by providing diverse instructional methods and resources that cater to different learning preferences (Zhao, 2024) .

Learning Styles and Educational Outcomes

Understanding learning styles is crucial in designing effective blended learning models. According to Fleming and Mills, learning styles can be broadly categorized into four types: visual, auditory, kinaesthetic, and read/write (Espinoza-Poves et al., 2019). Research has shown that aligning instructional methods with students' preferred learning styles can enhance their engagement, motivation, and academic performance(Phoong, 2021; Tuan et al., 2005).

- **Visual Learners:** Benefit from diagrams, charts, and videos. Blended learning can provide rich multimedia content that caters to visual learners(Yan & Seki, 2024).
- **Auditory Learners:** Prefer listening to lectures and discussions. Podcasts and recorded lectures are effective tools in a blended learning environment for these learners (Liu & Liu, 2024; Masela & Subekti, 2021).

- **Kinaesthetic Learners:** Learn best through hands-on activities. Interactive simulations and virtual labs can provide the necessary experiential learning opportunities (Masela & Subekti, 2021).
- **Read/Write Learners:** Thrive on reading and writing activities. Blended learning platforms can offer extensive text-based resources and opportunities for written expression (Benitez-Correa et al., 2022; Sánchez Tyson, 2024).

Some of Previous Research

The body of research on blended learning has grown substantially over the past two decades, reflecting its increasing adoption in educational institutions worldwide. Blended learning, defined as a combination of traditional face-to-face instruction and online learning activities, has been shown to offer a flexible and effective approach to teaching and learning (Perez et al., 2020). Early studies by Robin Castro (2019) highlighted the transformative potential of blended learning in higher education, emphasizing its ability to enhance the learning experience through increased interaction and engagement. Their research demonstrated that blended learning environments could support collaborative learning communities, fostering deeper understanding and critical thinking among students(Castro, 2019).

Subsequent research has built on these foundational studies, exploring the specific impacts of blended learning on different learning styles. Fleming and Mills introduced the VARK model, which categorizes learners into visual, auditory, reading/writing, and kinaesthetic types (Espinoza-Poves et al., 2019).. This model has been instrumental in guiding the development of blended learning strategies that cater to diverse learning preferences. For instance, visual learners benefit from multimedia content, such as videos and infographics, which help them better understand and retain information (Yan & Seki, 2024). Auditory learners, on the other hand, thrive on lectures and discussions, making podcasts and recorded lectures valuable tools in blended learning environments (Liu & Liu, 2024; Masela & Subekti, 2021).

Research by Hassan A. El-Sabagh (2021) further supports the notion that addressing individual learning styles can significantly enhance educational outcomes. Their studies in engineering education revealed that students' academic performance improved when instructional methods aligned with their preferred learning styles. This finding underscores the importance of personalized learning paths in blended learning models, which allow students to engage with content in ways that best suit their learning preferences (El-Sabagh, 2021).

Additionally, Martin et al. (2022) conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of online and blended learning studies, concluding that blended learning approaches generally produce better student outcomes compared to purely face-to-face instruction (Martin et al., 2022). This meta-analysis emphasized the importance of interactive and collaborative elements in blended learning, which can lead to higher levels of student engagement and achievement. Cao (2023) also reported similar findings, noting that students in blended learning environments often exhibit increased motivation and satisfaction with their learning experiences(Cao, 2023).

Despite these positive outcomes, the implementation of blended learning is not without challenges (Rasheed et al., 2020). identified technological barriers, such as limited access to devices and internet connectivity, as significant obstacles to the widespread adoption of blended learning, particularly in underserved areas. Alvarez (2020) highlighted resistance to change

among educators and students accustomed to traditional teaching methods as another challenge. These barriers necessitate a multifaceted approach to implementation, including professional development for educators and infrastructure support to ensure equitable access to technology (Alvarez, 2020).

The literature on blended learning also emphasizes the need for continuous assessment and feedback. formative assessments are crucial in blended learning environments, allowing educators to monitor student progress and adjust instructional strategies accordingly (Chan, 2021). This approach is supported by Iron & Elkinton (2021), who advocated for clear instructional design and ongoing support for educators to effectively integrate blended learning into their teaching practices(Irons & Elkington, 2021).

In conclusion, the existing research underscores the significant benefits of blended learning in catering to different learning styles and improving educational outcomes. However, it also highlights the challenges that must be addressed to fully realize these benefits. By building on these previous studies, this article aims to explore the impact and implementation of blended learning models in more depth, providing practical insights and recommendations for educators and policymakers.

Theories of Related Literature

Blended learning, which combines traditional face-to-face instruction with online learning activities, is grounded in several educational theories that emphasize the importance of catering to diverse learning styles and creating flexible, student-centered learning environments. Constructivist theories, particularly those advanced by Vygotsky, form the foundation of blended learning. Constructivism posits that learners construct knowledge through experiences and interactions within their environment. Blended learning environments, by integrating both in-person and digital interactions, facilitate these experiences and support active learning. This approach encourages students to engage in meaningful activities that promote deeper understanding and knowledge construction.

The Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework, developed by Garrison, Anderson, and Archer (2000), further supports the implementation of blended learning. The CoI framework identifies three critical elements for a successful educational experience: cognitive presence, social presence, and teaching presence. Cognitive presence refers to the extent to which learners can construct and confirm meaning through sustained reflection and discourse. Social presence involves the ability of participants to identify with the community, communicate purposefully, and develop interpersonal relationships. Teaching presence is the design, facilitation, and direction of cognitive and social processes to achieve learning outcomes. Blended learning environments can effectively foster all three presences by combining the strengths of face-to-face and online interactions, thereby enhancing the overall learning experience(Akyol & Garrison, 2013).

Another relevant theory is the VARK model proposed by Fleming and Mills (1992), which categorizes learners into four types based on their preferred learning styles: Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinaesthetic. This model has been instrumental in guiding the development of instructional strategies that cater to diverse learning preferences. For example, visual learners benefit from the inclusion of diagrams, videos, and infographics in their learning materials, while auditory learners excel through lectures, discussions, and podcasts. Kinaesthetic learners, who prefer

hands-on experiences, find interactive simulations and virtual labs particularly engaging. Blended learning models can incorporate these varied instructional methods to address the unique needs of each learner, enhancing their engagement and learning outcomes(Dey & Panda, 2024).

Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (1984) also provides a valuable framework for understanding the benefits of blended learning. Kolb suggests that learning is a process whereby knowledge is created through the transformation of experience. This theory identifies four stages in the learning cycle: concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Blended learning environments can support all stages of this cycle by providing opportunities for direct experiences through hands-on activities and simulations, encouraging reflection through discussion forums and reflective assignments, facilitating conceptualization through online lectures and readings, and promoting experimentation through projects and interactive exercises (Morris, 2020).

Social Learning Theory, as proposed by Bandura (1977), underscores the importance of observation, imitation, and modelling in learning. Blended learning environments offer ample opportunities for social learning through collaborative online tools such as discussion boards, group projects, and peer reviews. These tools enable students to observe and interact with their peers, model behaviors, and receive feedback, which can enhance their learning experience and outcomes (Firmansyah & Saepuloh, 2022).

In conclusion, the theoretical foundations of blended learning are diverse and robust, encompassing constructivist theories, the Community of Inquiry framework, the VARK model, Experiential Learning Theory, and Social Learning Theory. These theories collectively emphasize the importance of active, student-centered learning, the integration of diverse instructional methods to cater to different learning styles, and the creation of collaborative learning communities. By grounding blended learning models in these theories, educators can create more effective, engaging, and inclusive learning environments that address the diverse needs of modern learners.

Impact of Blended Learning on Student Engagement

Student engagement is a critical factor in academic success. Blended learning has been found to significantly enhance engagement by providing interactive and varied learning activities that meet different student needs. Studies have shown that students in blended learning environments are more likely to participate actively in discussions, complete assignments on time, and show higher levels of motivation compared to traditional learning settings(Hrastinski, 2019).

Flexibility and Accessibility in Blended Learning

One of the major advantages of blended learning is its flexibility. It allows students to access course materials and complete assignments at their own pace, making education more accessible to non-traditional students, such as working professionals and those with family responsibilities. This flexibility also supports differentiated instruction, where educators can tailor content to the individual learning needs of each student(Castro, 2019).

Challenges in Implementing Blended Learning Models

Despite its benefits, implementing blended learning models presents several

challenges. Technological barriers, such as limited access to devices and reliable internet, can impede the effectiveness of blended learning, particularly in underserved communities. Additionally, there is often resistance to change from educators and students who are accustomed to traditional methods of instruction. Professional development and ongoing support for educators are essential to overcoming these challenges and ensuring the successful integration of blended learning(Alvarez, 2020)

Best Practices for Blended Learning Implementation

Research suggests several best practices for implementing blended learning models effectively (Kumar et al., 2021). These include:

- **Clear Instructional Design:** Developing a structured and well-organized course that seamlessly integrates online and face-to-face components
- **Continuous Assessment and Feedback:** Using formative assessments to provide timely feedback and adjust instructional strategies as needed.
- **Student Support Services:** Offering robust support services, including technical assistance and academic advising, to help students navigate blended learning environments.
- **Professional Development for Educators:** Providing training and resources to help educators effectively use technology and implement blended learning strategies.

Methodology

1. Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive qualitative research design to explore the impact and implementation of blended learning models tailored to different learning styles. Descriptive qualitative research is appropriate for this study because it aims to provide a detailed, in-depth understanding of participants' experiences and perceptions without quantifying the results.

2. Participants

Sample Selection:

Purposeful sampling was used to select participants who have direct experience with blended learning models. This includes educators, administrators, and students from various educational institutions.

The sample was chosen to ensure diversity in learning styles among student participants, encompassing visual, auditory, kinaesthetic, and read/write learners.

Participant Demographics:

- Educators: Teachers and instructors implementing blended learning in their classrooms.
- Administrators: School and college administrators responsible for curriculum design and implementation.
- Students: Individuals actively engaged in blended learning environments, representing different learning styles.

Data Collection Methods

Interviews:

- Semi-structured interviews were conducted with educators, administrators, and students to gather rich, detailed insights into their

experiences and perceptions of blended learning.
- Interview questions focused on the benefits, challenges, and effectiveness of blended learning models, as well as how different learning styles are accommodated.

Observations:
- Classroom observations were carried out to see blended learning in action. These observations aimed to document instructional strategies, student engagement, and interactions in blended learning environments.
- Observers took detailed field notes to capture the nuances of classroom dynamics and instructional practices.

Document Analysis:
- Analysis of curriculum materials, lesson plans, and educational policies was conducted to understand how blended learning models are designed and implemented.
- Documents provided contextual information and complemented the data gathered from interviews and observation.

Data Analysis
- **Thematic Analysis:**

  Data from interviews, observations, and documents were analysed using thematic analysis, which involves identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns (themes) within the data. Thematic analysis was chosen for its flexibility and ability to provide a rich, detailed account of data.
  - **Coding Process:**

    Data were coded systematically to identify recurring themes and patterns related to the impact and implementation of blended learning models and the accommodation of different learning styles. Codes were then grouped into broader themes that captured the essence of participants' experiences and perceptions.
  - **Triangulation:**

    Triangulation was used to enhance the credibility and validity of the findings by cross-verifying data from multiple sources. This approach helped to ensure that the findings were well-supported and comprehensive.

Finding and Discussion

The descriptive qualitative analysis of blended learning models tailored to different learning styles reveals several key insights. Firstly, the impact on student engagement is significant. Students across various learning styles reported higher levels of engagement and motivation when blended learning models were customized to their preferences. Visual learners appreciated the use of multimedia content, such as videos and infographics, which helped them grasp complex concepts more effectively. Auditory learners benefited from recorded lectures and podcasts, allowing them to absorb information through listening. Kinaesthetic learners found interactive simulations and virtual labs particularly engaging, as these tools provided hands-on experiences even in a digital format. These findings align with previous research highlighting the importance of aligning instructional methods with students' preferred learning styles to enhance engagement and motivation (Zhao, 2024).

Improved academic performance was noted among students whose learning styles were addressed in blended learning models. Visual learners showed better retention and understanding of the material when presented with diagrams and visual aids. Auditory learners performed well on assessments involving listening components, while kinaesthetic learners excelled in practical applications and interactive tasks (Liu & Liu, 2024; Masela & Subekti, 2021; Sánchez Tyson, 2024; Yan & Seki, 2024).

Blended learning also provided significant flexibility in learning schedules, allowing students to access materials and complete assignments at their own pace. This was

particularly beneficial for non-traditional students, such as those balancing work and family responsibilities. The accessibility of online resources ensured continuous learning opportunities outside the traditional classroom setting. This flexibility addresses major challenges in contemporary education, particularly the need for inclusive and adaptable learning environments (Castro, 2019; Perez et al., 2020). By accommodating different learning schedules and providing on-demand access to educational content, blended learning can help bridge the gap for students who might otherwise struggle to attend regular classes.

Effective implementation strategies included personalized learning paths, interactive and collaborative tools, and continuous professional development for educators. Personalized learning paths, supported by adaptive learning technologies, allowed students to progress according to their individual learning needs. Interactive tools such as quizzes, discussion forums, and virtual labs facilitated active learning and collaboration among students. These strategies are consistent with best practices identified in the literature for implementing blended learning (Kumar et al., 2021). The emphasis on personalization and interaction highlights the importance of designing blended learning environments that are responsive to students' needs and conducive to active learning.

However, implementing blended learning models presents several challenges. Technological barriers, such as limited access to devices and reliable internet, were significant in underserved areas. Additionally, there was resistance to change from educators and students accustomed to traditional teaching methods. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach. Providing resources and infrastructure support can help mitigate technological barriers, while incremental implementation and pilot programs can reduce resistance to change. Ensuring quality and consistency necessitates clear guidelines and standards for blended learning content and delivery (Anthony et al., 2019; Rasheed et al., 2020).

Case studies further illustrate the effectiveness of blended learning tailored to different learning styles. For example, visual learners responded positively to the integration of video tutorials, infographics, and interactive simulations. These tools helped them visualize complex concepts and retain information more effectively. This success supports the notion that multimedia content can significantly enhance understanding and retention for visual learners. Similarly, kinaesthetic learners benefited from virtual labs, hands-on projects, and gamified learning activities, highlighting the importance of incorporating experiential learning opportunities into blended learning models. Auditory learners excelled when using podcasts, recorded lectures, and interactive discussions, underscoring the need for diverse instructional methods to accommodate different learning styles(Castro, 2019).

In conclusion, the findings from this descriptive qualitative study highlight the transformative potential of blended learning models tailored to different learning styles. By addressing the diverse needs of students, blended learning can enhance engagement, improve academic performance, and provide flexible and accessible learning opportunities. Effective implementation strategies and addressing challenges are crucial for realizing the full benefits of blended learning. This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on blended learning and offers practical insights for educators and policymakers.

Conclusions

This descriptive qualitative study has demonstrated the transformative potential of blended learning models tailored to different learning styles. The research findings underscore the importance of addressing the diverse needs of students to

enhance engagement, motivation, and academic performance. Visual learners benefited significantly from multimedia content such as videos and infographics, while auditory learners thrived on recorded lectures and podcasts. Kinaesthetic learners found value in interactive simulations and virtual labs that provided hands-on experiences. These observations align with existing literature, which highlights the effectiveness of aligning instructional methods with students' preferred learning styles.

Moreover, the flexibility and accessibility offered by blended learning were crucial in accommodating the varied schedules and learning paces of students, making education more inclusive.

Effective implementation strategies, including personalized learning paths and the use of interactive and collaborative tools, were essential for the success of blended learning models. The emphasis on continuous professional development for educators also emerged as a critical factor, ensuring that teachers are well-equipped to integrate technology into their teaching practices. However, the study also identified significant challenges such as technological barriers and resistance to change, which require a multifaceted approach to address. Providing resources and infrastructure support, alongside incremental implementation strategies, can mitigate these challenges.

In conclusion, the findings from this study contribute to the growing body of knowledge on blended learning by providing detailed insights into how different learning styles can be effectively integrated into blended learning models. This research highlights the potential of blended learning to create more personalized, flexible, and inclusive educational environments. By addressing the diverse needs of students and overcoming the challenges associated with its implementation, blended learning can significantly enhance educational outcomes and better prepare students for the demands of the 21st century. The study offers practical recommendations for educators and policymakers to optimize the use of blended learning in various educational contexts.

References

Akyol, Z., & Garrison, D. R. (Eds.). (2013). *Educational Communities of Inquiry: Theoretical Framework, Research and Practice*. IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-2110-7

AlAli, R., & Wardat, Y. (2024). The Essence of Blended Learning: What It Really Means? *International Journal of Religion*, *5*(9), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.61707/try09m33

Alvarez, A. V. (2020). Learning from the Problems and Challenges in Blended Learning: Basis for Faculty Development and Program Enhancement. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, *15*(2), 112–132. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1285361

Anthony, B., Kamaludin, A., Romli, A., Raffei, A. F. M., Nincarean A/L Eh Phon, D., Abdullah, A., Ming, G. L., Shukor, N. A., Nordin, M. S., & Baba, S. (2019). Exploring the role of blended learning for teaching and learning effectiveness in institutions of higher learning: An empirical investigation. *Education and Information Technologies*, *24*(6), 3433–3466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09941-z

Arantes, J. (2024). Digital twins and the terminology of "personalization" or "personalized learning" in educational policy: A discussion paper. *Policy Futures in Education*, *22*(4), 524–543. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1177/14782103231176357

Benitez-Correa, C., Vargas-Saritama, A., Gonzalez-Torres, P., Quinonez-Beltran, A., & Ochoa-Cueva, C. (2022). Students' Preferences and Learning Styles in Relation to Reading and Writing Strategies at Distance Higher Education. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *21*(4), Article 4. http://www.ijlter.net/index.php/ijlter/article/view/1308

Cao, W. (2023). A meta-analysis of effects of blended learning on performance, attitude, achievement, and engagement across different countries. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1212056. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1212056

Castro, R. (2019). Blended learning in higher education: Trends and capabilities. *Education*

and Information Technologies, *24*(4), 2523–2546. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09886-3

Chan, K. T. (2021). Embedding Formative Assessment in Blended Learning Environment: The Case of Secondary Chinese Language Teaching in Singapore. *Education Sciences*, *11*(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11070360

Dey, B., & Panda, B. N. (2024). Understanding the Learning Style Preferences of ODL Students Using VARK Model: Implications for Individualized Pedagogy and Student Success. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, *50*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2024/v50i51365

El-Sabagh, H. A. (2021). Adaptive e-learning environment based on learning styles and its impact on development students' engagement. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *18*(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00289-4

Espinoza-Poves, J. L., Miranda-Vilchez, W. A., & Chafloque-Céspedes, R. (2019). The Vark Learning Styles among University Students of Business Schools. *Journal of Educational Psychology - Propositos y Representaciones*, *7*(2), 401–415. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1220557

Firmansyah, D., & Saepuloh, D. (2022). Social Learning Theory: Cognitive and Behavioral Approaches. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Holistik (JIPH)*, *1*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.55927/jiph.v1i3.2317

Goshtasbpour, F., Swinnerton, B. J., & Pickering, J. D. (2022). Twelve tips for engaging learners in online discussions. *Medical Teacher*, *44*(3), 244–248. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2021.1898571

Harootonian, S. K., Niv, Y., Griffiths, T., & Ho, M. K. (2024). Modeling Cognitive Strategies in Teaching: Integrating Theory of Mind and Heuristics. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, *46*(0). https://escholarship.org/uc/item/658913kk

Hongxu, C., & Isa, Z. B. M. (2024). Navigating New Normal: Evaluating the Effects of Blended Learning Models on College Student Outcomes in Southwest China Post-Epidemic. *Journal of Digitainability, Realism & Mastery (DREAM)*, *3*(05), Article 05. https://doi.org/10.56982/dream.v3i05.236

Hrastinski, S. (2019). What Do We Mean by Blended Learning? *TechTrends*, *63*(5), 564–569. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-019-00375-5

Irons, A., & Elkington, S. (2021). *Enhancing Learning through Formative Assessment and Feedback* (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781138610514

Joshi, D., Zalte, S., Sridhar, Johny K, R., & Mahajan, D. (2023). The Impact of Blended Learning on Student Engagement in the Digital Era. *European Chemical Bulletin*, 727–735. https://doi.org/10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si12.063

Khaledi, A., Ghafouri, R., Anboohi, S. Z., Nasiri, M., & Ta'atizadeh, M. (2024). Comparison of gamification and role-playing education on nursing students' cardiopulmonary resuscitation self-efficacy. *BMC Medical Education*, *24*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-05230-7

Korson, C. (2023). A place-based approach to blended learning. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, *47*(4), 569–588. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2022.2122032

Kumar, A., Krishnamurthi, R., Bhatia, S., Kaushik, K., Ahuja, N. J., Nayyar, A., & Masud, M. (2021). Blended Learning Tools and Practices: A Comprehensive Analysis. *IEEE Access*, *9*, 85151–85197. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085844

Liu, X., & Liu, Y. (2024). Music Rhythmic Cueing for the Production of Non-native Speech Rhythm: Evidence from Chinese Learners of French. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, *53*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-024-10044-1

Martin, F., Wu, T., Wan, L., & Xie, K. (2022). A Meta-Analysis on the Community of Inquiry Presences and Learning Outcomes in Online and Blended Learning Environments. *Online Learning*, *26*(1), 325–359. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1340511

Masela, M., & Subekti, A. S. (2021). Auditory and kinaesthetic learning styles and L2 achievement: A correlational study. *Englisia: Journal of Language, Education, and Humanities*, *8*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.22373/ej.v8i2.7529

Mohammad Ali, A. (2024). *Enhancing Inclusivity in Swedish ESL Classrooms: Integrating Generative AI for Personalized Learning*. https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:mau:diva-69052

Morris, T. H. (2020). Experiential learning – a systematic review and revision of Kolb's

model. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *28*(8), 1064–1077. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1570279

Nazarqulovna, P. G., & Qizi, T. M. M. (2024). THE ROLE OF BLENDED LEARNING IN TEACHING ENGLISH AT UNIVERSITIES. *Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences*, 66–73. http://ijournal.uz/index.php/jartes/article/view/1226

Ortega-Morán, J.-F., Pagador, B., Maestre-Antequera, J., Arco, A., Monteiro, F., & Sánchez-Margallo, F. M. (2020). Validation of the online theoretical module of a minimally invasive surgery blended learning course for nurses: A quantitative research study. *Nurse Education Today*, *89*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104406

Perez, B., Castellanos, C., & Correal, D. (2020). *Measuring the quality of the blended learning approach to teaching computational sciences*. *1587*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1587/1/012021

Phoong, S. Y. (2021). The Influence of Learning Styles and Motivation on Undergraduate Student Success in Mathematics. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)*, *12*, 658–665. https://doi.org/10.17762/turcomat.v12i3.771

Rasheed, R. A., Kamsin, A., & Abdullah, N. A. (2020). Challenges in the online component of blended learning: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, *144*, 103701. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103701

Sánchez Tyson, L. (2024). 'Learning to read and write is to defend yourself': Exploring Indigenous perspectives and reimagining literacies for self-determination in Mexico. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *106*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2024.102992

Tuan, H.-L., Chin, C.-C., Tsai, C.-C., & Cheng, S.-F. (2005). Investigating the Effectiveness of Inquiry Instruction on the Motivation of Different Learning Styles Students. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *3*(4), 541–566. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-004-6827-8

Yan, D., & Seki, A. (2024). The Role of Letter–Speech Sound Integration in Native and Second Language Reading: A Study in Native Japanese Readers Learning English. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*(6), 1123–1140. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02137

Zhao, M. (2024). Exploration and Research on Online and Offline Blended Teaching Mode of Practical Class Courses. *Contemporary Education and Teaching Research*, *5*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.61360/BoniCETR242016230502