

Proceedings 5<sup>th</sup> Vocational Education International Conference Semarang, 13 July 2023

# The Effectiveness of Project-Based Learning (PjBL) on Learning Outcomes; A Meta-Analysis Study

Fadhilah<sup>\*</sup>, Muhammad Husin, Rian Fadia Raddhin

Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia \*Corresponding author. Email: <u>fadhilah@ft.unp.ac.id</u>

# ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze the effectiveness of the effect of the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) learning model on student learning outcomes. There were 26 articles analyzed in this study. The articles collected have been published in national journals, international conferences, and international journals for the last 3 years from 2018 to 2021 related to Project-Based Learning (PjBL) and learning outcomes. This research uses a meta-analysis method by calculating the effect size value in each article. Four formulas are used to calculate the effect size value for each article. This research is meta-analysis research based on the form of learning outcomes with the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model, Year, Type of Integration, Effect Size Value, and Type of Publication. The meta-analysis results show that the average effect size of PjBL on student learning outcomes is high and significant. The Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model is mostly integrated with the Conventional Learning (CvL) model. The order of most publications starts from national journals, international journals, and international conferences, all three of which have an average effect size value in the high category, and there is no publication bias. Based on data analysis, Project-Based Learning (PjBL) can increase students' creativity in learning. Besides, it helps students to be able to think more critically in solving problems. This model can also improve students' skills to help them compete in the 21st century and the era of society 5.0.

Keywords: Meta-Analysis, Project-Based Learning, Learning model, Learning outcomes.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The Society 5.0 is a technology-based social concept on people/communities. In the 20<sup>th</sup> century, education focused on information obtained from books. But in the 21<sup>st</sup> century [1], it focuses on all age groups. Today, people learn not only from books but also from the Internet, information technology platforms, and other sources. Technology and information are sources of knowledge needed in society 5.0 era and the 21<sup>st</sup> century in preparation for the challenges of the world of work [2].

Success in the world of work is the achievement of the knowledge obtained during education. With education, students will get various experiences in practicing skills and abilities [3]. Everyone needs education because education will make excellent, noble, and intelligent human resources. Integrating Project-Based Learning (PjBL) into education can produce superior skills to increase competitiveness in the 21<sup>st</sup> century and be able to face challenges in the era of society 5.0 [4].

Project-Based Learning (PjBL) is a learning model that focuses on the students themselves and makes them create a product at the end of their learning. In other words, students are free to move and be active during learning by working on a project to create a product in groups. With the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model, students will be trained to be active in solving problems and describing those problems in class as well as collaborating with other students [5]. In this model, the teacher states a basic question or case that exists in the real world and explores it deeper. Students learn the material and compile a project design in groups to overcome the problem. This learning method will be able to encourage students' knowledge and skills. The teacher acts as a moderator and motivator. Students are free to work individually and in groups while the teacher monitors them in class.

Students' creative thinking ability is still low or lacking, so students find it hard to solve a given problem [6]. Lack of creative thinking affects student learning outcomes [7]. In addition, learning in schools is still conventional learning centered on the educators. It affects the students' low ability in understanding the concept of material. The learning activities are less active. Students' critical thinking ability is also low. Therefore, it is difficult to analyze, conclude, and evaluate problems [8].

Many previous studies have been carried out but still, have some limitations. The learning approach with the PjBL model potentially equips students with creative and critical thinking skills. In addition, it can also improve students' work skills through their learning outcomes. Therefore, researches needed in the form of a meta-analysis on the impact of PjBL on student learning outcomes.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is meta-analysis study. The articles collected are in English. The journal articles taken were about Project-Based learning (PjBL)'s effect on learning outcomes from Google Scholar. A coding category sheet is a research tool used in conducting the meta-analysis. This coding aims to make it easier to analyze and collect data.

The stages in the meta-analysis can be seen as follows:

- 1. Collecting articles from 2018-2021 with the keywords Project-Based Learning (Independent Variables) and Learning Outcomes (Dependent Variables).
- 2. Collecting pretest, posttest, standard deviation, number of samples, and t-test scores for each experimental and control class.
- 3. Analyzing the value of variance if the standard deviation value is not found in the article.
- 4. Calculate the effect size value for each item (article) in Table 1 and interpreting it into some criteria in Table 2.

No	Statistical Data	Equation	Formula
1	Average (1 group)	$\text{ES} = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{x}_{\text{post}}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}_{\text{pre}}}}{\text{SD}_{\text{pre}}}$	Fma-1
2	Average (2 groups), Posttest	$\text{ES} = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{eks}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{kon}}}{\text{SD}_{\text{kon}}}$	Fma-2
3	Average (2 groups), Pretest & Posttest	$= \frac{\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{post}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{pre}}\right)_{eks} - \left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{post}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{pre}}\right)_{eks}}{\left(\frac{SD_{\text{pre kon}} + SD_{\text{pre eks}} + SD_{\text{post kon}}}{3}\right)}$	Fma-3
4	t count	$\mathrm{ES} = \mathrm{t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{eks}} + \frac{1}{n_{kon}}}$	Fma-4

**Table 1.** Effect Size and Code Formula (Tenti, 2020)

Table 2. Effect Size	Category	(Tamur	& Juandi, 2020)

Effect Size (ES)	Category
$-0.15 \le Effect Size < 0.15$	No Effect
$0.15 \le Effect Size < 0.40$	Low
$0.40 \le Effect Size < 0.75$	Moderate
$0.75 \le Effect Size < 1.10$	High
$1.10 \le Effect Size < 1.45$	Very High
<i>Effect Size</i> $\geq$ 1.45	Extremely High

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The basis of this research is the analysis of the effect of the PjBL model on student learning outcomes. The articles carried out in the meta-analysis are articles published in national journals, international conferences, and international journals. There are 26 articles published in the last three years (2018-2021) chosen for this research and coded as MA 1 to MA 26. Below are

the results of grouping articles based on statistical data and the effect size of each article on learning outcomes in Table 3. There are six effect size categories in analyzing this article. They are effect size based on the type of overall learning outcomes, the average value of ES based on the type of learning outcomes by integrating PjBL and several other learning models, and the average value of ES based on the type of publication used.

	, v		Type of	Ef	fect Size	e (ES) of on Data	Type	
No	Meta Analysis Source	r	PJBL	N Tot	ES	Category	Journa	Formula
			Integration			8.	1	
1	MA 1 [9]	2019	PjBL	38	2.41	EH	Int	Fma-1
2	MA 2 [10]	2021	PjBL	42	1.36	VH	Int	Fma-1
3	MA 3 [11]	2020	DL	40	0.48	Mo	Natl	Fma-2
4	MA 4 [12]	2019	PS	70	1.58	EH	Conf	Fma-2
5	MA 5 [13]	2019	CvL	40	1.08	Hi	Conf	Fma-2
6	MA 6 [14]	2019	CvL	71	0.39	Lo	Conf	Fma-2
7	MA 7 [15]	2020	CTL	70	0.77	Hi	Natl	Fma-2
8	MA 8 [16]	2021	CvL	62	0.76	Hi	Natl	Fma-2
9	MA 9 [17]	2019	CvL	54	0.59	Mo	Natl	Fma-2
10	MA 10 [18]	2019	BBL	30	0.60	Mo	Int	Fma-2
11	MA 11 [19]	2020	HB	50	1.43	VH	Conf	Fma-2
12	MA 12 [20]	2021	CvL	40	1.05	Hi	Int	Fma-2
13	MA 13 [21]	2021	CvL	30	3.69	EH	Natl	Fma-2
14	MA 14 [22]	2019	DLM	284	2.33	EH	Int	Fma-2
15	MA 15 [23]	2018	CvL	54	4.77	EH	Conf	Fma-2
16	MA 16 [24]	2020	CLM	60	0.86	Hi	Natl	Fma-2
17	MA 17 [25]	2021	CvL	48	0.77	Hi	Int	Fma-2
18	MA 18 [26]	2018	PBL	60	0.45	Mo	Natl	Fma-2
19	MA 19 [27]	2021	DL	133	-0.04	NE	Natl	Fma-3
20	MA 20 [28]	2019	CvL	28	1.28	VH	Natl	Fma-3
21	MA 21 [29]	2019	CvL	60	0.32	Lo	Conf	Fma-3
22	MA 22 [30]	2020	CvL	56	0.87	Hi	Natl	Fma-3
23	MA 23 [31]	2020	CvL	65	1.21	VH	Int	Fma-3
24	MA 24 [32]	2019	CvL	40	1.24	VH	Int	Fma-4
25	MA 25 [33]	2020	CvL	36	1.12	VH	Conf	Fma-4
26	MA 26 [34]	2018	CvL	48	1.32	VH	Natl	Fma-4
Aver	rage Effect Size(ES)				1.26	Very High		

Table 3. Meta-Analysis and Effect Size Recapitulation

Explanation : PjBL: Project-Based Learning; DL: Direct Learning; PS: Problem Solving; CvL: Conventional Learning; CTL: Contextual Teaching and Learning; BBL: Brain Based Learning; HB: Handbook; DLM: Demonstration Learning Model; CLM: Cooperative Learning Model; PBL: Problem Based Learning; Natl: National; Conf: Conference; Int: International; NE: No effect; Lo: Low; Mo: Moderate; Hi: High; VH: Very High; EH: Extremely High; Fma: Formula. To prove whether the size of the effect size in each study has a difference, a heterogeneity test is carried out. From the results, we can determine what model can be used to calculate the summary effect. From Table 4, the degrees of freedom is (df): 26-1=25. The results of the analysis show that the value of the Q parameter is 20,248 and p < 0.001 so that the distribution of the effect size analyzed is heterogeneous with the level of variation in the effect size between studies  $I^2 = 32,692$ . It means 32 % of the observed effect sizes have a percentage of viability due to true heterogeneity. Therefore, a random-effects model can be used to calculate the combined effect size.

	Q	df	р	$\mathbf{I}^2$
Omnibus test	20.248	1	<.001	
of Model				
Coefficients				22 602
Test of	31.013	25	0.189	32.092
Residual				
Heterogeneity				

**Table 4.** Fixed and Random Effects

From Table 5, the findings from the metaanalysis can be explained that the impact of using PjBL on student learning outcomes has a high overall effect, the average effect size is 1.26 (very high category). It proved that the PjBL model has a positive impact on student learning outcomes. After using the JASP software, Table 4 shows that the estimated value is 1.263. It means there is a positive and significant correlation between the use of PjBL on student learning outcomes (z = 4.500; p < 0.001; 95% CI [0.713; 1.813 ]). The impact of using the PjBL model on student learning outcomes is high (1.263). Overall, with the help of the software, the effect size and confidence interval limits for all meta-analyzed articles are shown in Figure 1. The average confidence interval for all articles was 0.71-1.81, and the average effect size was positive.

Randolli Effect Wodel							
						95	%
						Confi	dence
						Inte	rval
		Estimate	SE	Z	р	Lower	Upper
intercept		1.263	0.281	4.500	<.001	0.713	1.813

 Table 5. Mean Of Effect Size Coefficient Using

 Random Effect Model

The recapitulation of Project-Based Learning (PjBL) integrated with several other learning models is shown in Table 6. The average effect size result of PjBL integrated into several learning models are in 5 categories, namely low, moderate, high, very high, and extremely high. Two articles only use 1 class group, namely the PjBL model to learning outcomes with an average ES value of 1.89 (extremely high). Two class groups are integrated PjBL model with several other learning models as shown in Table 6. Integration of PjBL with Conventional Learning (CvL) has 15 published articles with an average ES value of 1.36 (extremely high).

No	Learning Model	Article	Number of Study	Average effect size	Category
1	Only Pjbl	MA 1 and 2	2	1.89	EH
2	PJBL and CvL	MA 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 17, and 20-26	15	1.36	VH
3	PjBL and DL	MA 3 and 19	2	0.22	Lo
4	PjBL and PS	MA 4	1	1.58	EH
5	PjBL and CTL	MA 7	1	0.77	Hi
6	PjBL and BBL	MA 9	1	0.59	Мо
7	PjBL and HB	MA 11	1	1.43	VH
8	PjBL and DLM	MA 14	1	2.33	EH
9	PjBL and CLM	MA 16	1	0.86	Hi
10	PjBl and PBL	MA 18	1	0.45	Mo

Table 6. PjBL Integration Recapitulation

There are three types of article publications, as shown in Table 7. There are 11 articles published in national journal publications with an average 1.00 (high) effect size value. Seven articles are published in international conferences withan average ES of 1.53 (high). Eight other articles are published in international journals with an average ES of 1.37 (high).

The publication bias analysis on this metaanalysis can be seen in Figure 2. It is significant because, in a meta-analysis study, there is usually a bias caused by subjective publications [35-38]. In Figure 1, several studies are out side the graph. However, this funnel plot is not convincing, and it is difficult to conclude whether there is a bias of publication or not. It can be concluded that the funnel plot is symmetrical. This research uses Egger's Test (statistical method) to test the symmetric funnel plot [39-42]. The result is in Table 8.



Figure 2. Funnel Plot

Table	7	Publication	Type
Lanc	<i>'</i> •	1 ublication	rypc

No	Publication	Article	Number of Research	Average effect size	Cate gory
1	National	MA 3, 7, 8, 9, 13, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22 dan 26	11	1.00	Hi
2	Conference	MA 4, 5, 6, 11, 15, 21, dan 25	7	1.53	EH
3	International	MA 1, 2, 10, 12, 14, 17, 23, 24	8	1.37	VH

Table 8. Egger's test				
	Z	р		
sei	0.057	0.954		

In Table 8, p is higher than 0.05, it can be concluded that the *funnel plot* is symmetrical and there is no bias publication in this meta-analysis. PjBL is a learning activity that includes a project in helping the students to obtain knowledge. In learning, students are given problems as the first step in collecting and integrating new knowledge [43-46]. After analyzing the problem, they have to solve it, and new knowledge is obtained. Then, it is done in the form of projects. In making this project, students can collaborate with their friends and support each other during team work. Thus, learning will focus on students [47]. Students will be challenged to be more active during learning and also think critically [48] to solve problems.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

From the research, the overall effect size is in the very high category. The PjBL model affects learning outcomes, namely in the high category. The magnitude of the effect size and effect of the PjBL model can improve students' skills. Besides, it also increases student creativity and can think critically in solving problems quickly. Project-Based Learning (PjBL) is integrated more with Conventional Learning (CvL) with an extremely high effect size category. Publication bias did not occur in the articles in this meta-analysis.

# REFERENCES

- Anwar, M. (2021). Analysis of vocational interests and student's perception of work-based on society 5.0 towards learning outcomes. JPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia), 7(1), 57–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.29210/02021845</u>
- [2] Apriana, W. N., Ridlo, S., & Latiana, L. (2019). Concept Understanding of Science in The Project Based Learning Model Using Recycling Activities. *Journal of Primary Education*, 8(8), 199–206. <u>https://doi.org/10.15294/jpe.v10i2.34450</u>
- [3] Arkadiy, F. (2021). Features of Critical Thinking of Individual Entrepreneurs With Different Levels of Self-Regulation. International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 9(2),

253-264. <u>https://doi.org/10.23947/2334-8496-2021-9-2-253-264</u>

- [4] Arnellis, A., Fauzan, A., Arnawa, I. M., & Yerizon, Y. (2020). The Effect of Realistic Mathematics Education Approach Oriented Higher Order Thinking Skills to Achievements' Calculus. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1554(1), 1–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1554/1/012033</u>
- [5] Arum, A. P., Hidayah, N., & Jakarta, U. N. (2021). The Effect Of Project-Based Learning On The Learning Outcomes Of Vocational Students In The Time Of Covid-19. *Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation*, 32(3), 19058–19062. <u>https://turkjphysiotherrehabil.org/pub/32-3.html</u>
- [6] Asmi, A. W., Rahmat, F., & Muhandaz, R. (2021). The effectiveness of project based learning students worksheet on students ' achievements in two variables linear equations system. Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Dan Matematik Malaysia, 11(Special Issue 2021), 59–71. https://doi.org/10.37134/jpsmm.vol11.sp.6.2021
- [7] Çelik, H. C., Ertaş, H., & İlhan, A. (2018). The Impact of Project-Based Learning on Achievement and Student Views: The Case of AutoCAD Programming Course. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 7(6), 67. https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v7n6p67
- [8] Edy, D. L., Suwarno, S., Sunomo, S., & Basuki, B. (2019). Metacognitive Improvement of Project-Based Learning Students with E-Portfolio Assessment. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*,242(Icovet 2018), 92–94. https://doi.org/10.2991/icovet-18.2019.23
- [9] Fadhilah, F., Effendi, Z. M., Ridwan, R., & Alias, M. (2019). Effectiveness of DILA Learning Model Application on Applied Physics Course in The Department of Mining Engineering. Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia, 15(1), 46-51. https://doi.org/10.15294/jpfi.v15i1.15389
- [10] Fadhilah, F., Effendi, Z. M., & Ridwan, R. (2021). Development of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) Models in Applied Physics Courses. International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding, 8(3), 364-375. http://dx.doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v8i3.2425
- [11] Fauziah, R., Setiawan, B., & Padang, U. N. (2019). the Effect of Project Based Learning Model on Studentslearning Outcomeof Elementary School. *International Journal of Educational Dynamics*, 1(2), 265–269.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24036/ijeds.v1i2.198

- [12] Fitriani, A., Zubaidah, S., Susilo, H., & Al Muhdhar, M. H. I. (2020). PBLPOE: A learning model to enhance students' critical thinking skills and scientific attitudes. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(2), 89–106. <u>https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.1327a</u>
- [13] Hidayat, H., Tasrif, E., Jaya, P., Anwar, M., Thamrin, T., Zulwisli, Z., Hadi, A., Budayawan, K., Husin, M., & Asmara, D. (2021). The Empirical Analysis of Industrial Work Challenges in the Industrial Revolution 5.0 Towards a Grade Point Average (GPA) for Electronic Engineering Education Students. International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (IJOE), 17(09), 21–34.

https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v17i09.25679

- [14] Hikayat, C., Suparman, Hairun, Y., & Suharna, H. (2020). Design of realistic mathematics education approach to improve critical thinking skills. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(6), 2232–2244. <u>https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080606</u>
- [15] Husin, M., Ambiyar, & Syah, N. (2022). Information and Service Challenges in the 5 . 0 Industrial Revolution on Student Satisfaction : Empirical Analysis in the Department of Electronics. *Edukatif: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 4(1), 887–897. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31004/edukatif.v4i1.19</u> <u>56</u>
- [16] Husin, M., Giatman, M., & Usmeldi, N. J. (2021). Learning in Vocational Education During the Covid 19 Outbreak : A Systematic Review and Meta- Analysis. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 608(Ictvet), 103–109. <u>https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.2112</u>08.018
- [17] Jaenudin, R., Chotimah, U., Farida, F., & Syarifuddin, S. (2020). Student Development Zone: Higher Order Thinking Skills (Hots) in Critical Thinking Orientation. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 7(9), 11. <u>https://doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v7i9.1884</u>
- [18] Jargalsaikhan, B. E., Ganbaatar, N., Urtnasan, M., Uranbileg, N., Begzsuren, D., Patil, K. R., Mahajan, U. B., Unger, B. S., Goyal, S. N., Belemkar, S., Surana, S. J., Ojha, S., Patil, C. R., Mansouri, M. T., Hemmati, A. A., Naghizadeh, B., Mard, S. A., Rezaie, A., Ghorbanzadeh, B., ... Yuanita, E. (2019). Project-Based Learning: Promoting Efl Learners' Writing Skills. Behnam. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching*, 9(1), 148–162. https://doi.org/10.24071/1/lt.2019.220108
- [19] Jazuli, L. O. A., Solihatin, E., & Syahrial, Z. (2019). The effect of brain based learning strategies and project based learning on mathematics learning outcomes in students of the kinesthetic learning style group. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(6S3), 373–377. <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.F1064.0986S319</u>
- [20] Khairani, D., & Tressyalina. (2020). The Influence of Project Based Learning Model on Student Writing Skills. Jurnal Pena Sains, 7(2), 113–119. <u>https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200824.012</u>
- [21] Kusilawati, J., Wiryokusumo, I., Adi Walujo, D., Negeri, S., Pawiyatan No, J., Wachid Hasyim, S., Raya Sememi No, J., Program, P., PGRI Adi Buana Surabaya, U., Dukuh Menanggal XII, J., & Corresponding Author, S. (2019). the Implementation of Project Based Learning, Demonstration and Learning Style To Improve Learning Outcomes in Vocational High School. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 7(1), 55–62. https://www.ijern.com/January-2019.php
- [22] Ling, G. H. T., & Ho, C. M. C. (2020). The effect of project based learning model combined with snake and ladder media to fifth graders' learning activity and outcomes in social studies. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 8, 132–140.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v8i1.702

- [23] Milena, V. Z., & Petra, P. P. (2021). Cognitive constructivist way of teaching scientific and technical contents. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education*, 9(1), 23-36. <u>https://doi.org/10.23947/2334-8496-2021-9-1-23-36</u>
- [24] Mustapha, R., Sadrina, Nashir, I. M., Azman, M. N. A., & Hasnan, K. A. (2020). Assessing the implementation of the project-based learning (PJBL) in the department of mechanical engineering at a Malaysian polytechnic. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 12(1 Special Issue), 100–118. <u>https://doi.org/10.30880/jtet.2020.12.01.011</u>
- [25] Nizamuddin, N., Samidjo, S., & Handoyono, N. A. (2019). Increasing outcomes of the results learn through project based learning. *Taman Vokasi*, 7(1), 86–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.30738/jtv.v7i1.4784</u>
- [26] Pratama, H., & Prastyaningrum, I. (2019). Effectiveness of the use of Integrated Project Based Learning model, Telegram messenger, and plagiarism checker on learning outcomes. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1171*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1171/1/012033
- [27] Pulungan, S. A. (2021). The Effect of Project Based Learning Model on Student Mathematics Learning Outcomes in the Covid-19 Pandemic Era. Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal), 4(4), 7810–7816. https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v4i4.2700
- [28] Retno, N. H. D., Sunarno, W., & Marzuki, A. (2019). Influence of physics problem-solving ability through the project based learning towards vocational high school students' learning outcomes. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1307(1), 1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1307/1/012009</u>
- [29] Rikardo Parhusip, B., Wisnu Saputra, T., & Marko Ayaki, I. (2021). Implementation of *Project Based Learning* by *SolidWorks* Application in Online Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 9(7), 431–434. https://doi.org/10.12691/education-9-7-6
- [30] Rismawati, Sunarno, W., & Sarwanto. (2019). The effect of project based learning on learning environment and learning outcomes in vocational high school students. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1307(1), 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1307/1/012010</u>
- [31] Rusilowati, U., & Wahyudi, W. (2020). The Significance of Educator Certification in Developing Pedagogy, Personality, Social and Professional Competencies. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 409(SoRes 2019), 446–451. <u>https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200225.095</u>
- [32] Rusnawati, M., Santyasa, I. W., & Tegeh, I. M. (2021). The Effect of Project Based E-Learning Models toward Learning Outcomes and Critical Thinking Skills of Vocational High School Students. JPP (Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran), 27(2), 57–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.17977/um047v27i22020p057</u>
- [33] Saldo, I. J. P., & Walag, A. M. P. (2020). Utilizing Problem-Based and Project-Based Learning in Developing Students 'Communication and

Collaboration Skills in Physics. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 8(5), 232–237. https://doi.org/10.12691/education-8-5-1

- [34] Santyasa, I. W., Rapi, N. K., & Sara, I. W. W. (2020). Project based learning and academic procrastination of students in learning physics. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(1), 489–508. <u>https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.13132a</u>
- [35] Sari, D. P., Sitorus, M., Situmorang, M., & Sudrajat, A. (2020). Implementation of Project-Based Learning Resources With Multimedia to Improve Student Learning Outcomes in Teaching Cation Analysis. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 488(Aisteel), 120–126. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201124.028
- [36] Sari, N. H. Y., & Masruri, M. S. (2018). the Effectiveness of Project-Based Learning and Problem-Based Learning Models Towards Geography Learning Outcomes in Terms of Students' Locus of Control. *Geosfera* Indonesia, 3(3), 16. https://doi.org/10.19184/geosi.v3i3.8790
- [37] Silvi, F., Basori, & Maryono, D. (2020). The Influence of Project-Based Blended Learning Toward Outcomes Student Learning. *Advances in Social Science*, *Education and Humanities Research*, 440(Icobl 2019), 63–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200521.013</u>
- [38] Sirih, M., Ibrahim, N., & Priyono, P. (2020). Comparison of Project-Based Learning and Discovery Learning on Biology Learning Outcomes By Controlling Students'Initial .... Didaktika Biologi ..., 4(2), 59–66. <u>https://jurnal.umpalembang.ac.id/dikbio/article/view/2689/2325</u>
- [39] Sitompul, H., & Situmorang, J. (2020). The Effect of Blended Learning Strategy and Creative Thinking of Students on the Results of Learning Information and Communication Technology by Controlling Prior Knowledge. Budapest International Research and Critics in Linguistics and Education (BirLE) Journal, 3(2), 879–893. <u>https://doi.org/10.33258/birle.v3i2.997</u>
- [40] Sri Wahyuningtyas, D. S. (2018). The Impact of PJBL (Project Based Learning) Model On Grade Iv Students' Arts, Culture, and Crafraftsmanship Learning Outcomes. *Elementary School Teacher*, 2(1), 52–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.15294/est.v2i1.16444</u>
- [41] Syakur, A., Musyarofah, L., Sulistiyaningsih, S., & Wike, W. (2020). The Effect of Project Based Learning (PjBL) Continuing Learning Innovation on Learning Outcomes of English in Higher Education. Budapest International Research and Critics in Linguistics and Education (BirLE) Journal, 3(1), 625–630. <u>https://doi.org/10.33258/birle.v3i1.860</u>
- [42] Tamur, M., & Juandi, D. (2020). Effectiveness of Constructivism Based Learning Models Against Students Mathematical Creative Thinking Abilities in Indonesia; A Meta-Analysis Study. Conference: Mathematics, Science, and Computer Science Education International Seminar, MSCEIS, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.12-10-2019.2296507
- [43] Tenti, N. P. (2020). Meta-Analysis of the Effect of Integration Stem Education in a Various Learning Models on Student Physics Learning Outcomes. *Pillar* Of Physics Education, 13(4), 520–528.

https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.24036/10331171074

- [44] Tolga, G. (2021). The Development of the STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) Attitude and Motivation Survey Towards Secondary School Students. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education*, 9(1), 105-119. <u>https://doi.org/10.23947/2334-8496-2021-9-1-105-119</u>
- [45] Turyati, T., Hartati, S., & Nugraheni, N. (2020). Effectiveness of Project Based Learning (PjBL) to Improve Natural Science Learning Outcomes Of Grade IV Students. *Elementary School Teacher*, 3(1), 34–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.15294/est.v3i1.27921</u>
- [46] Ulya, F., Rifai RC, A., & Sulistyorini, S. (2020). The Effectiveness of Project-Based Learning Model and Talking stickType of Cooperative Learning Model on

the Quran-Hadith Subject Learning Outcomes. Innovative Journal of Curriculum and Educational Technology, 9(2), 87–93. https://doi.org/10.15294/ijcet.v9i2.40173

- [47] Van Laar, E., van Deursen, A. J. A. M., van Dijk, J. A. G. M., & de Haan, J. (2020). Determinants of 21st-Century Skills and 21st-Century Digital Skills for Workers: A Systematic Literature Review. SAGE Open, 10(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019900176
- [48] Wang, Y., Feng, R., Xu, J., Hou, H., Feng, H., & Yang, H. (2021). An updated meta-analysis on the association tuberculosis and COVID-19 severity and mortality. *Journal of Medical Virology*, 93(10), 5682–5686. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.27119</u>